** E-filed December 24, 2010 ** 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 NOT FOR CITATION 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 THOMAS SPILSBURY, JR., No. C09-05955 HRL 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO SHORTEN TIME 13 TARGET CORPORATION, et al., [Re: Docket No. 52] 14 Defendants. 15 16 On December 17, 2010, Defendants filed a motion for a protective order. Docket No. 53. 17 Defendants' also filed a request for the Court to hear oral argument on Defendants' motion on 18 shortened time. Docket No. 52. Plaintiff opposes Defendants' request. Docket No. 57. Having 19 considered the arguments of the parties, the Court does not find good cause to hear Defendants' 20 motion on shortened time, so Defendants' request is DENIED. Defendants' motion for a protective 21 order shall be heard on February 1, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. Briefing on Defendants' motion shall 22 proceed in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: December 24, 2010 25 TATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 26 27 28

1	C09-05955 JW (HRL) Notice will be electronically mailed to:
2 3	Juliet MacMillin Lompa jmlompa@stonelawoffice.com, csepulveda@stonelawoffice.com tmcmahon@cmalaw.net, jefflochner@gmail.com, raymond@cmalaw.net
4	5:09-cv-05955-JW Please see General Order 45 Section IX C.2 and D; Notice has NOT beer
5	electronically mailed to:
6	Colette Stone Stone & Sidran
7	A Professional Coporation 2125 Ygnacio Valley Road suite 101
8	Walnut Creek, CA 94598
9	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.
10	registered for a fining under the court's and Dar program.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
26	
28	
20	